Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,120	ARNOLD, L. TAYLOR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bena Miller	3725
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment</u>		
(1) <u>Bena Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Eric LaMorte</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ben A. N. 100.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)
		·

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on 1/19/06 to discuss 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph issue(s) in order to help place the case in condition for allowance. However, the Examiner did not receive a returned called from Applicant's representative; therefore, the Office Action has been made final.